Directorate of Intelligence



25X1

The Falklands Dispute: Implications for US Relations With Latin America and Western Europe

25X1

An Intelligence Assessment

State Dept. review completed

Secret

ALA 82-10085 EUR 82-10056 June 1982

Copy 225





Secret		

25X1

The Falklands Dispute: Implications for US Relations With Latin America and Western Europe

25X1

An Intelligence Assessment

Information available as of 15 June 1982 has been used in the preparation of this report.

This memorandum was prepared by	25 X 1
of the Office of African and Latin American Analysis and by of the Falkland Islands	25X1
Working Group. Comments and queries are welcome and may be directed to the Chief, South America Division, ALA,	25X1
This paper has been coordinated with the National Intelligence Council and with the Directorate of	25 X 1
Operations.	

SecretALA 82-10085
EUR 82-10056
June 1982

Approved For	Release 2008/08/19 : CIA-RDP03T02547R00010088000	
		25X1
	The Falklands Dispute: Implications for US Relations With Latin America and Western Europe	25 X 1
Key Judgments	The final resolution of the Falklands crisis will determ duration of related damages to US ties with Latin Am and most lasting impact would be caused by severe pol instability in Argentina leading to a leftist Peronist go	erica. The broadest litical and economic
	Even if the crisis were to be resolved under conditions worst case, the US decision to support the United King cially Washington's imposition of sanctions on Argenti US position in Latin America somewhat impaired. Recountries probably will be cool for a few years. Over tintially or even substantially redressed. Much will depen US damage-limiting measures.	gdom—and espe- ina—will leave the lations with several ne losses can be par-
	The principal, abiding consequence of the crisis in both regional terms will be to reinforce factors that have pre the once-great US influence in the region. The extent gradual but persistent effect will vary from country to subregion to subregion. It will be more pronounced in the Caribbean Basin, where the net effect on US into	ogressively reduced of deepening of that country and from South America than
	The crisis will have less impact on Washington's relating European Allies than with Latin America. The war is concern to continental NATO members that it is to mustates, and it is not viewed in Europe as a major indicate policy toward NATO. Nevertheless, we believe the crisishape future responses to some important issues, such a in the out-of-area interests of member states.	not the central any Latin American ator of overall US sis could tend to
	in the out of area interests of member states.	25 X 1

Secret *ALA* 82-10085 *EUR* 82-10056 *June* 1982

	Approved For Release 2008/08/19 : CIA-	RDP03T02547R000100880001-5
		Secret
		25X1
	The Falklands Dispute: Implication	ons
	for US Relations With Latin Ame	erica 25X1
	The Impact in Latin America	25X1
	The US stance in favor of the United Kingdom has produced wide and strong resentment in Latin America. Intellectually, for some time Latin Americans	South American countries will never again be quite the same. Moreover, the nature and operation of the inter-American system and its institutions will be
	have recognized and grudgingly accepted the primacy for the United States of its interests in Western Europe over those in Latin America. The special nature of the historical US bonds with the British	affected; the continuing value of the OAS and the Rio Treaty to Latin states will deter efforts toward radical changes. Over the longer term, a third area of concern to the United States—peace among states of the 25X1
051/4	were also understood. But the dramatic and unequivo- cal confirmation of the secondary place of Latin America in US strategic concerns came as a severe	In economic terms, the crisis is unlikely to have much
25X1	The force of that blow was intensified by its occurring	once emotions cool, the region's need for foreign funds and skills will cause Latin American leaders to
	in the face of formal expressions of solidarity with Argentina by the great majority of Latin American governments. The perception of affront is only slightly mitigated by the fact that the solidarity was evoked	resume a more pragmatic approach to Washington. US willingness to respond to economic concerns in such areas as trade, access to capital, debt service accommodations, policing of transnationals, and tech-
	by emotional and instinctive identification with Argentina as a fellow Latin American state and that	nology transfer should hasten the regaining of lost ground. But the decades-old trend toward greater
25 X 1	Latin rhetorical unity obscured extensive disagreement with the original Argentine resort to force.	political, economic, and military independence from the United States will continue regardless of US actions.
	The invocation of Latin American solidarity has tapped the pervasive and persistent strain of anti-	The Soviet Union, which sees any Latin American
	Americanism in Latin American nationalism. Uneasiness about the <u>implications</u> of the conflict for a continent with many territorial disputes, uneasiness over Buenos Aires's use of force, and a general dislike	conflict with the United States as useful, is attempting to exploit the new opportunities to expand its influence. Nevertheless, embedded Latin suspicions of Soviet purposes, corresponding fears of subversion,
	for Argentina as a hemispheric actor have been submerged at least temporarily in a wave of strident criticism of US disregard of perceived Latin Ameri-	and Moscow's inability to play a major role in Latin American economic development—the central problem for all states of the region—will limit Soviet
25 X 1	can interests.	gains. Soviet weapons may be more attractive to some rearming South American countries, but attempts to
	Some Latin American leaders—particularly in Venezuela, Panama, and Peru—have called for revisions (unspecified) of the Rio Treaty; others, striking an old	gain political advantage from arms sales will be resisted. 25X1
	theme, have demanded US exclusion from the Organization of American States (OAS) or the creation of some other purely Latin American political organiza-	The Cubans and Nicaraguans will continue their rhetorical support for Argentina in hopes of reducing US influence in the region and undercutting US
	tion. Despite the high quotient of emotion in many of these instinctive reactions and the strong likelihood that extravagant impulses will give way to more sober	policy toward Central America. The transparent cynicism of their position will tend to reduce their degree
	and realistic appreciations, US relations with certain	25X1
	1	Secret

US Bilateral Relations

The impact on US bilateral relations in the hemisphere will range from significant, long-term damage in the case of Argentina to little noticeable effect in Mexico, Central America, and the Caribbean.

25X1

With South America

South American reaction to the US position in the crisis falls into three broad categories:

- Those states adopting a hard, critical stance toward Washington—Argentina, Venezuela, Peru, and, to a much lesser extent, Bolivia.
- Those countries taking a middle position, sympathizing with Argentina but trying to avoid alienating the United States-Brazil, Ecuador, Uruguay, and Paraguay.
- Those taking a "soft" approach, opposing Argentine actions on juridical and strategic grounds-Colombia and Chile.

25X1

Among those countries taking a strong stand in the crisis, the United States will suffer significant, longterm damage to its relations with Argentina and with the military in Peru. Historically, US relations with Argentina have never been close; now, Buenos Aires will be hostile for years to come? US firms in Argentina are likely to face tougher regulations and a loss of investment opportunities. In general, the Argentines probably will try to cut back imports from the United States—which totaled \$2 billion in 1981.

Underlying political and economic problems that existed before the invasion still persist and will now reemerge. Civilian groups are likely to blame the junta for the military failure and join the call for a rapid return to elected government. We believe regime leaders will try to ward off these calls in two ways! First, they will maintain an aggressive posture toward the British and pledge to press Argentine claims to the Falklands Second, they will move toward transition to civilian rule—the anticipated political party statute due in late June will be a crucial first step in the process. Civilian leaders would be likely to accept a transition period of military rule—albeit with their participation—to assure a smooth transfer.

The motives for Venezuela's strong pro-Argentine stand are complex and linked to a variety of nationalistic and domestic political issues. The crisis will produce some short-term negative aspects in US-Venezuelan relations; in public Caracas will criticize the United States and try to distance itself from US policies. But because both countries have parallel economic and security interests we believe Caracas will become more cooperative in time, though bickering and footdragging probably will characterize its private dealings with Washington over the short term.

25X1

In *Peru*, the sentiment of military leaders and widespread popular support for Argentina are dragging President Belaunde into an increasingly uncomfortable pro-Buenos Aires position. One recent poll, for example, shows that over 90 percent of the public strongly backed Argentina. Lima's stand in the conflict relates directly to its dispute with Chile; the US position is a secondary consideration. Most of Peru's civilian leaders, and particularly President Belaunde, want friendly ties with Washington. US political and economic interests should suffer no serious or enduring damage.

25X1

The Peruvian high command, however, reportedly is convinced that Washington is an unreliable partner. Any prospects of weaning it away from a heavy dependence on Soviet military equipment have been dashed for the foreseeable future. Bolivia, with Argentine largess a thing of the past, will continue to push for improved relations and economic assistance from Washington.

25X1

US relations with those countries adopting a middle position should not be significantly affected. Brazil, more than any country in the region, has a strong appreciation of its global political and economic interests and will strive to avoid damaging its ties with either the United States or the United Kingdom. Nevertheless, Brasilia values its improved relations with Buenos Aires and did not want to lag behind its Spanish-speaking neighbors in offering vocal and material support.

25X1

25X1

Secret

2

25 X 1	Brazil's chief concern is post-	purposes—be unaffected by events in the South At-
	crisis instability in Argentina that might bring a	lantic. The dependence of <i>El Salvador</i> and <i>Costa</i>
	leftist-Peronist regime to power. The Brazilians also	Rica on the United States allows for little substantive
	worry that Argentina will begin new provocations	change in the relationship. Despite the bitter reaction
	against the Chileans. We believe Brazil will, there-	of the pro-Argentine Commander in Chief, Alvarez,
•	fore, continue its delicate balancing act, trying to	the case of <i>Honduras</i> is similar. Bilateral relations are
	avoid the wrath of resurgent Argentine nationalism	subject at least to short-term erosion, however, if
	and, at the same time, protect its broader economic	increased US military and economic assistance is not
•	and security interests. <i>Ecuador</i> , <i>Uruguay</i> , and <i>Para</i> -	forthcoming. <i>Guatemala</i> has found Argentina a more
	guay were anxious to stay clear of the conflict and the United States will neither gain nor lose in these	reliable ally than the United States in recent years, but this appears likely to be offset by the desire of
25X1	countries.	many officers in the new government for renewed
	countries.	close ties to Washington. 25X1
	US-Colombian relations should not be adversely af-	20/(1
	fected by the dispute. Despite considerable public	Panama's strong support for Buenos Aires during the
	sympathy for Argentina, the Colombian Government,	crisis is related directly to the Canal Treaty issue.
	with an eye to Nicaragua's claim to Colombian	More than any other regional nation, Panama has
	islands, maintained a highly principled, legal position	fervently and effectively used Latin solidarity on this
	in the crisis. Bogota's calls for the withdrawal of	issue and its related territorial question. Panama
	Argentine troops and its attempt to push a moderate	anticipates the need to exploit it again as the Cane? Treaty implementation process draws on and diffe.
	resolution in the OAS dovetailed with US policy.	
	President-elect Belisario Betancur is likely to follow a more nationalistic policy, however, and for this rea-	ences over treaty interpretation arise. 25X6
	son, Bogota probably will be more independent of the	
	United States in the Caribbean Basin. <i>Chile</i> , con-	
	cerned that it will be the next victim of Argentine	
	aggression, is pushing for a resumption of military	25X6
25 X 1	assistance and closer ties with the United States.	
	LINGODED	
	Central America, and the Caribbean	
	For reasons of individual self-interest, but partly	
	fortuitously, this crisis will least affect relations with countries geographically closest to the United States.	Security and Regional Cooperation
	Indeed, for those English-speaking states that are	Security and Regional Cooperation
	already tentatively well pleased with recent US atten-	Even before the strife in the Falklands, it was increas-
	tion to the Caribbean, the dispute augurs better ties.	ingly difficult for Washington to mobilize the hemi-
	Guyana, concerned by the prospect of a Venezuelan	sphere against the threat from international Commu-
	move to reclaim the Essequibo, has broken ranks with	nism. Most South American governments, though
051/4	its socialist allies and has joined the English-speaking	concerned about Cuba and Nicaragua, see the strug-
25X1	states on this issue.	gle in Central America as primarily a US problem.
		Moreover, they fear that US policy has the effect of
•	The alignment of Cuba, Nicaragua, and Grenada	introducing East-West tensions into the region. Last
	with Argentina injects no important new ingredient in	year, for example, this kind of concern and the fear
25 X 1	the already sour relations these nations have with	that the United States would take direct action in Central America prompted the Venezuelan Foreign
	Washington.	Minister to discuss with his counterparts in Brazil,
	Central Americans, anchored by their own crisis to	Transfer to disease with the counterparts in brazil,
	their positions with Washington, will—for practical	

3

	Argentina, and Mexico the formulation of a unified Latin American foreign policy to sensitize Washington to the regions' concerns.	political situation sorts itself out. Moreover, the Argentines may reason that Washington still might soften the British position in hopes of recouping lost ground in Latin America.	25X1 25X1
25X	This does not imply that the Latin Americans are indifferent to the threat posed by Communism or that, in case of naked aggression, the Rio Treaty could not be invoked. It does suggest, however, that any effort to trigger the collective security mechanism against Cuba or Nicaragua will meet strong resistance for some time to come. In the Caribbean Basin In Central America the United States is likely, as a result of the Falklands crisis, to be much more on its own. In spite of Venezuela's strong support for Argen-	In the OAS As a vehicle for regional consultation and coordinated action, the already ineffectual OAS has been further weakened by the Falklands crisis. Nevertheless, we believe the calls by some Latin American officials for the creation of a Latin-only political organization—an OAS without the United States and perhaps the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean—will be unsuccessful. The OAS serves some important Latin American purposes, such as a forum for engaging Washington. The historic difficulty in forming a cohesive and effective Latin American political entity further suggests that radical action will be avoided.	25X1
	tina and intense criticism of the United States, Caracas's enduring geopolitical interests in the Caribbean Basin as a whole—as well as its desire to redress losses it has suffered with pro-UK Caribbean states—will leave its policy toward the subregion basically intact and parallel to Washington's. Caracas will, therefore, continue its assistance programs in the area. But the Venezuelans, already increasingly concerned about the political costs of cooperating with Washington, will want even less public identification with US activities there. In private, footdragging and bickering will characterize Venezuelan dealings with US officials on a variety of Caribbean Basin issues. This will be evident in the negotiations on the Caribbean Basin Initiative. Caracas, for example, declined to attend a meeting on the Caribbean Basin scheduled to be held in Paris because of European sanctions against Argentina. The fallout of the Falklands crisis	Similarly, far-reaching revision of the Rio Treaty is not likely, although Latin American governments will probably discuss this possibility over the coming months. The Falklands issue sharply aggravated the long-standing differences between the English-speaking Caribbean members of the OAS and most of the Latin Americans. The mutual distrust illustrated by their opposite stances on the Falklands will probably grow and debilitate the OAS further. Buenos Aires's traditionally limited cooperation with the United States in the OAS is certain to reappear, adding further to the decline of the institution. Nonetheless, emotional demands for the restructuring or replacement of the OAS will be resisted by cooler heads in Brazil, Colombia, Chile—and possibly even Venezuela, which, may be	25X1 25X1
25 X	will also complicate US efforts to involve other do- nors, such as Brazil.	having second thoughts about its earlier vanguard position in favor of far-reaching changes in the inter-American system.	0EV1
	Reduced resources will substantially limit Argentina's role in Central America. Moreover, Buenos Aires's bitterness toward the United States for its position in the Falklands' crisis could lead Argentina to pursue policies that diverge from our own. Buenos Aires.	Many Latin American leaders—and especially the Brazilians—have serious doubts about the viability of Latin-only regional organizations, particularly for political and security purposes. Those that exist—and	25X1

Secret

however, probably will not make a decision on its

future course in Central America until the domestic

4

they are essentially economic groupings—have not

Approved For Release 2008/08/19 : CIA-RDP03T02547R000100880001-5 Secret

25X1

		25X1
		25V4
	Military Sales and Training The crisis will accelerate the erosion of military collaboration with the United States in a number of areas. Over the past two decades, Latin American efforts to diversify military purchases and training were part of a broader drive to achieve a greater measure of political and economic autonomy from Washington.	The Brazilian high command will continue to rebuff any notion of an expanded leadership role for the United States in hemispheric defense. Brazilian lack of interest in a multilateral arrangement in the South Atlantic will be reinforced by the crisis; Argentina's 25X association with the United States in such an undertaking is out of the question.
25 X 1	Considerations of this kind also will influence the Latin American approach to the Rio Treaty, the collective security instrument of the inter-American system. The Treaty is important to many of its Latin American members as a safeguard against intrahemisphere conflicts. Moreover, it embodies the US commitment to the strategic defense of Latin America against the Soviet threat. We judge that these basic concerns make it doubtful that the Treaty will be jettisoned or radically revised.	It is still too early to assess the net impact of the crisis on US military sales. On the one hand, Venezuela has indicated that it will purchase F-16 aircraft from the United States, and Chile, fearing more problems with Buenos Aires over the Beagle Channel, is pushing to buy US equipment.
5 X 1	Only on US-oriented issues—and exclusively economic ones—have the Latin Americans been able to achieve unanimity. Despite the many serious shortcomings of the OAS, we believe most Latin governments ultimately will judge that it is the one judicial body in which they can collectively pressure or restrain Washington and take it to task for its actions.	
- 25X1	functioned well. As was demonstrated by the failure in 1981 of Venezuelan efforts to orchestrate a common Latin American foreign policy, attempts to forge political unity have foundered on mutual suspicions and the incompatibility of the interests of individual states. Superficial or temporary identity of views has tended to dissipate where fundamental divergences of interests have come into play. The fate of the so-called Southern Cone alliance is a subregional case in point.	The Latin Americans had mixed results with their European equipment in terms of cost, reliability, and provision of spare parts. Moreover, Argentina and Chile discovered that Western Europe—like the United States—would hold up arms sales because of the issue of human rights abuses.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Washington's sanctions against Argentina—according to the US Embassy in Lima—convinced key Peruvian military leaders that the United States is unreliable, both as a partner and as a source of weapons. Thus, Peru will continue to rely heavily on the USSR for major aircraft and ground equipment, which it now receives on concessionary terms.

Threats to Regional Peace

Over the medium-to-long term, the Falkland crisis is likely to cause a significant increase in regional tensions over territorial disputes, arms buildups, and nuclear programs. The dispute between Chile and Argentina over the Beagle Channel will be the most potentially dangerous flashpoint. Though Santiago has continually professed its neutrality in the Falkland conflict, the Argentines remain distrustful.

The crash of a British helicopter in southern Chile probably strengthened these suspicions. Buenos Aires was further angered by Chilean naval movements during the fighting, which it perceived as threatening and in support of British strategy.

Some Chileans are convinced that Argentina will turn next on Santiago. In part this may be a ploy to convince the United States to resume military sales. Since the Argentine invasion of the Falklands, however, we believe Chilean leaders are convinced that restraint no longer prevails in Buenos Aires and they expect stepped-up Argentine provocations over the Beagle channel dispute.

The mutual suspicions and antipathies involved in this dispute between Argentina and Chile—and the likelihood that, should strife occur, Peru, with irredentist aspirations toward Chilean territory, could also be drawn in—typify the potential for armed clashes over a dozen or so other territorial quarrels in the region. The Falklands crisis also has focused attention on Venezuela's longstanding claim to Guyana's Essequibo region. Bilateral talks between Caracas and Georgetown may begin in the latter part of June, and there now seems no immediate danger of Venezuelan military action. In light of the Falklands case, however, Venezuela will stress to Guyana that it is not

prepared for prolonged, inconclusive negotiations. Caracas probably will underscore this warning with occasional saber rattling and a continued buildup of its forces in the border area.

25X1

These territorial conflicts and the likelihood that the Falklands crisis will prompt a new round of major military expenditures underscore the dangers of an arms buildup in the region. Even before the dispute, several South American countries were either planning for or were in the midst of major military modernization programs. The fighting in the Falklands is being carefully assessed by military planners and strategists. So far, their reaction—though tentative and essentially impulsive—stresses the need to acquire new and more sophisticated weapons; accelerate the diversification of weapons suppliers; and, in the case of Brazil and Argentina, expand domestic arms industries. Brazilians, in particular, believe the crisis will be a boon to their already burgeoning arms industry. They reason that neighboring countries as well as many other Third World states will be convinced that the United States and Western Europe cannot be relied upon.

25X1

25X1

Secret

6

		25X1
		Strong anti-Communist and antileftist sentiment will persist in Argentine society as a whole and in the armed forces in particular. Thus, Soviet hopes of making sales of major weapons systems are unlikely to be realized in the short term.
		Over time, the example offered by Peru, concern over the reliability of Western suppliers, and economic problems could make the Argentines more susceptible to Soviet blandishments. Should Argentina establish closer ties with Moscow and purchase substantial amounts of weapons, Brazil—and to a lesser extent
	Prospects for Communist Gains	Venezuela—would want to consult regularly and closely with Washington on security matters. In particular, we believe Brasilia would be interested in
	The crisis has allowed the <i>Soviets</i> to make a net advance in a short-term propaganda sense, principally in Argentina. Soviet gains may not be long lasting, however. Most Latin Americans are alarmed by the	exploring the question of South Atlantic defense and broader issues relating to the maintenance of regional peace. 25X1
25X1	prospects of closer ties between Moscow and Buenos Aires, and Soviet aggressiveness in exploiting the situation can turn to Soviet disadvantage. Brazilian and Venezuelan officials, for example, cite this concern as a major reason for criticizing US policy. Even Argentine leaders must view the Soviet position in the dispute as cynical and opportunistic.	The caution with which <i>Cuba</i> first approached the crisis reflected the Castro regime's reluctance to cast its lot with an ideologically unacceptable administration in Buenos Aires, especially one that was likely to emerge a loser. This initial ambivalence quickly gave way to strong support once Havana detected rising pro-Argentine sentiment in other Latin American capitals. Sensing an opportunity to weaken the OAS
25X1	Moreover, there are significant constraints on an expansion of Soviet-Argentine ties. Moscow almost certainly hopes that the crisis will lead to a more favorable bilateral economic relationship but it offers few imports attractive to Argentina. According to Soviet figures for 1981, the USSR bought \$3.3 billion worth of goods from Argentina—mostly grain and meat—but sold to Argentina only \$42.5 million in machinery and nuclear supplies.	and reduce US influence in Latin America, the Cubans tried to broaden regional participation in the struggle and prolong it as a means of polarizing the hemisphere. Havana saw this role as a way to insinuate itself back into the mainstream of regional affairs after years of isolation, and to create conditions within Argentina that later could be exploited by Cuba's leftist allies there.
	Perhaps more important, the Communist countries have scant prospect of replacing the United States and Western Europe as suppliers of financial capital	The <i>Nicaraguans</i> more instinctively supported Argentina, with none of the hesitance showed by the Cubans. Managua thinks it has already benefited from the South Atlantic crisis. Seeing the United
•	to Latin America. Current Communist economic aid flows to Latin America are on the order of \$411 million per year. In addition, the USSR is finding it increasingly difficult to meet the financial needs of its own client states. By contrast, US and Western	States divided from Latin America on the issue, Nicaragua believes Washington will lose ground in the hemisphere for its Central American policy. Nonetheless, the transparently cynical nature of Cu- ba's and Nicaragua's alliance with the rightwing
25 X 1	medium- and long-term capital flows to the region ran about \$24 billion in 1980 and continue to grow.	regime in Argentina will reduce severely the degree of
25X1		

7

Approved For Release 2008/08/19: CIA-RDP03T02547R000100880001-5

Secret

success they will have. Those countries that	it have
become concerned about Cuban and Sovie	t activities
in the Caribbean Basin over the past three	years will
remain so	

25X1

Secret

8

2	ᆮ	V	c
_	J	Л	c

The Impact in Western Europe

	The Anglo-Argentine war in the Falkland Islands will	
	have less impact on Washington's relations with its	
	European Allies than with Latin America. The crisis	
•	has not been the central concern to continental	
	NATO members that it has been to many Latin	
	American states, and it has not been viewed in Europe	
25X1	as a major indicator of overall US policy toward NATO.	
23/1	NATO.	
	In a sense, the impact of the Falklands on US-West	
	European relations has been limited by the strength of	
	US support for the British. A neutral or pro-Argen-	
	tine US stance would have shaken the conviction of the Allies that they could count on Washington to	
	support West European interests outside the NATO	
	area. While the Allies have been reassured by US	
	support for London, they have tended to downplay it	
	publicly, in part because their own support for the	
	United Kingdom has been more conditional and	
	measured than Washington's. At the same time, the	
	West Europeans have attempted to minimize damage to their own relations with Latin American states.	
25 X 1	to their own relations with Latin American states.	
	Nevertheless, we believe the crisis will affect some	
	important Alliance issues.	
		25X6
	it will sharpen NATO's debate about the Alli-	
	ance's role in the out-of-area interests of member	
	states. The war's aftermath probably will also focus	
	the Allies once more on the differences between their	
25X1	interests in Latin America, on the one hand, and US	
20/(1	policy on the other.	
•		
		25X6
		20/10

25**X**6

The "Out-of-Area" Question	
The war in the Falklands has significant implications for the ongoing NATO debate over the Alliance's role in supporting the global interests of member states. During this crisis, there was no discussion of the provision of military aid by NATO, as an alliance, to the British. Nor did any NATO member offer to provide the military support necessary to take up the slack created by the transfer of the British fleet from the North Atlantic to the Falklands area.	25X1
A similar pattern could be seen in future Alliance reactions to US transfer of forces to such possible troublespots as the Persian Gulf. NATO members have shown no willingness to expand the Alliance's terms of reference to institutionalize protection of Western interests outside the North Atlantic area. Similarly, chances are slim that the Allies will agree to earmark forces to replace any US troops or equipment sent from Europe to another area, especially if the West Europeans would be expected to share the cost.	25 X 1
On the other hand, the United States can expect significant ad hoc and unilateral support for operations in the Persian Gulf and elsewhere.	5X6
France probably can also be counted on to help certain US projects, again assuming that Paris is in agreement with the direction of particular US policies. The Mitterrand government has already shown itself willing to cooperate with US deployments to the Persian Gulf and seems willing as well to take the lead in protecting Western interests in Francophone Africa.	25X1

	No other Ally is capable of much direct military support, unless Belgium decides to reactivate its Zaire policy in the event of renewed instability in that area. West European-Latin American Relations	On the other hand, the Falklands war has done little to improve West European-Latin American relations. EC sanctions against Argentina will not be quickly forgotten, and the automatic NATO political support for the United Kingdom in the Falklands war will make it more difficult for West Europeans to present themselves as having independent policies toward the region. This situation may, in fact, make some Allies 25X6 even more determined to demonstrate independence from the United States in future relations with Latin America.
25X1	The Allies are acutely aware of the danger that the Falklands crisis poses to US-Latin American and West European-Latin American relations. From the earliest days of the crisis, the Allies tried to minimize damage to both sets of relations, a process that will accelerate now that the war is virtually over. This is an urgent concern to many Allies, because of the perceived danger that the USSR and Cuba could benefit from strained relations between NATO members and Latin America, and because the Allies hope to expand once promising economic and political ties to countries in the region. The war and its aftermath probably will exacerbate some US-West European differences regarding El Salvador and other regional problems.	25X6
		25X6

11